

# How to Perform Antibunching Measurements

## Emission Filters

During antibunching measurements, the avalanche breakdown flash of [SPADs](#) may become visible as unexpected additional peaks near the expected antibunching dip (see figure 2). These peaks might be useful to find the location of the dip, they are however artifacts caused by photons emitted (after the detection of a genuine photon) by one of the SPADs, detected by the second SPAD. The distance of the expected dip and the afterflash peak is characteristic for the setup.

To get rid of the afterflash peak, it has been proven useful to introduce 2 identical bandpass filters directly in front of each [SPAD](#). E.g. the afterflash of MPD SPADs for Atto488 (485 nm excitation, emission around 520 nm) could be suppressed by inserting one 536/40 bandpass filters in front of SPADs.

We also recommend to use a 50:50 beam splitter plate instead of a beam splitter cube, or to intentionally misalign the detectors slightly.

## Antibunching using SymPhoTime

- with [PicoHarp 300](#) in [T3-mode](#)
  - not possible with SymPhoTime (32-bit version), because in SPT32 the Sync Divider is always active and set to 8

Instead, data acquisition is possible with native [PicoHarp 300/HydraHarp 400](#) softwares as well as with [SymPhoTime64](#), where the sync divider can be disabled.

Using a PicoHarp300, connect one detector to Ch.0 (SYNC) and the other one to Ch.1

With a HydraHarp400, don't use SYNC channel as input for one detector. Use e.g. input channels 1 and 2. The SYNC should stay connected.

Unfortunately, analysis of HydraHarp400 T3-mode antibunching data is not implemented in SPT.

- with [PicoHarp 300/HydraHarp 400](#) in [T2-mode](#)
  - measurements are possible with [SymPhoTime64](#)

## Changing from Normal Acquisition (T3-mode) to Antibunching (T2-mode)

### Cabling: PicoHarp

1. Disconnect Sync from [PicoHarp 300](#) Ch.0.
2. Disconnect SPAD 1 Signal from PHR800 Router.
3. Connect SPAD 1 Signal to [PicoHarp 300](#) Ch.0 only if it is NIM compatible. If the SPAD provides only TTL output pulses, you need to use the SIA400 adapter.

4. Adjustment of the CFD Level for Ch 0 (Sync Channel) might be necessary.

- It is also possible to connect both Detectors directly to the [PicoHarp 300](#) without passing SPAD 2 through the router. **In this case, however, the router cable (DVI-cable) needs to be disconnected from the PH300**
- No special delay cables necessary

## Cabling: HydraHarp

- Disconnect Sync from [HydraHarp 400](#) Ch0. (thats all)
- if Laser is attached via [SEPIA II](#) driver, it's enough to disable the SYNC in the SEPIA software  $\Rightarrow$  no cable change necessary

## Software Settings during Acquisition




Figure 1: Correlation of Antibunching Measurement. Sample [Diamond\\_NV\\_centers](#). Laser rep. rate 10 MHz for details




Figure 2: Correlation of Antibunching Measurement. Sample [Diamond NV centers](#). Laser repetition rate 40 MHz. Note the peak near the expected dip at lag-time=0 due to SPAD afterglow. Compared with figure 1 the expected dip has not been shifted to the center of the x-axis and the emission bandpasses have not been placed directly in front of the detectors but in the emission filter wheel in front of the tube lens.

- *diffusing Samples*: typically just point measurement in [T2-mode](#) (see below)
- *immobilized samples*: imaging in [T3-mode](#) followed by point measurements in [T2-mode](#)
  - for example see [Diamond NV centers](#)
- depending on preference set laser repetition rate in order to allow full fluorescence to fully decay or highest possible rate or cw-excitation. The advantage of the highest possible repetition rate (or even cw) is better resolvability of the dip, disadvantage is that fluorescence does not decay completely during the pulses. (Compare figure 1 (10MHz) rep. rate and figure 2 (40 MHz) rep rate).
- Select [T2-mode](#)
- Start Point Measurement
- For a single immobilized molecule antibunching trace you will need at least a trace of 30s fluorescence emission with a minimum mean countrate of 10 kcps.

## Analysis in SymPhoTime

- Select FCS Analysis
- Select *CrossCorrelation* and *Antibunching*
- *Left Correlation Channel*:
  - [PicoHarp 300](#): Select *Ch0* (this is now SPAD 1)
  - [HydraHarp 400](#): Select *Ch1* SPAD 1)
- *Right Correlation Channel*:
  - [PicoHarp 300](#) (SPAD 2 connected to Ch2 of the Router) Select *Ch2* (SPAD 2)
  - [PicoHarp 300](#) (w/o Router) Select *Ch1* (SPAD2)
  - [HydraHarp 400](#) select *Ch2* (SPAD 2)
- *LagTime To*: select few multiples of the Laser Repetition Rate (e.g. for 10 MHz select 700 ns – so you will

see 7 peaks)

- **Delta** is the step width of the lag-time axis in units of the [PicoHarp 300](#) Resolution.
  - e.g. for 32 ps Resolution Setting, selecting a Delta = 128 will result in approx. 4 ns steps for the x-Axis of the correlation.
  - Start with lag-time/200/T2-resolution (e.g. 700ns/200/32ps  $\approx$  110; this means your x-scale will have 198 points, resolution will be 3.52 ns).
  - *Higher Delta values* will speed up the calculation but result in a coarser correlation curve.
- **Offset** is the delay (in ps) between the two Detectors
  - [HydraHarp 400](#): in a MicroTime200 with HydraHarp detection both detectors including cables+electronics have the same delay. Offset = 0 will show the dip at lagtime =0 (see figure 2).
  - [PicoHarp 300](#) in a MT200 with PH both detectors including cables+electronics have a different delay - in our case it is in the region of -15000 (15 ns) due to the router.
  - However to move the expected “dip” to the center of the x-axis we here use the half of the x-axis range minus the difference in cable length (700ns/2 - 15 ns = 335000 ps). (see figure 1)
- Start Correlation Calculation

The symmetric correlation, which is shown automatically will only show a small “dip” as it is the average of **AxB** **AND** **BxA** in the case of figure 1 AxB will have its dip at 350 ns and BxA will have its dip at -350 ns. Therefore only one of both correlation (here AxB) should be displayed.

## Some MT200 based literature about Antibunching

1. Yuan C. T., Yu P., Ko H. C., Huang J., Tang J.: *Antibunching single-photon emission and blinking suppression of CdSe/ZnS quantum dots*. In: ACS Nano. Vol. 03, p.3051-3056 (2009).
2. Koberling F., Kraemer B., Buschmann V., Rüttinger S., Kapusta P., Patting M., Wahl M., Erdmann R: *Recent advances in photon coincidence measurements for photon antibunching and full correlation analysis*. In: Proceedings of SPIE. Vol.7185, 71850Q (2009).
3. Fore S., Laurence T.A., Hollars C. W., Huser T.: *Counting constituents in molecular complexes by fluorescence photon antibunching IEEE Journal of Selected Topics*. In: Quantum Electronics. Vol.13, p.0996-1005 (2007)
4. Nettels D., Gopich I. V., Hoffmann A., Schuler B: *Ultrafast dynamics of protein collapse from single-molecule photon statistics*. In: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA. Vol.104, p.02655-02660 (2007)
5. Ta H., Kiel A., Wahl M., Herten D.-P.: *Experimental approach to extend the range for counting fluorescent molecules based on photon-antibunching* Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, Vol.12, p.10295-10300 (2010)

Copyright of this document belongs to PicoQuant GmbH. No parts of it may be reproduced, translated or transferred to third parties without written permission of PicoQuant GmbH. All information given here is reliable to our best knowledge. However, no responsibility is assumed for possible inaccuracies or omissions. Specifications and external appearances are subject to change without notice.



PicoQuant GmbH  
Rudower Chaussee 29 (IGZ)  
12489 Berlin  
Germany

P +49-(0)30-1208820-89  
F +49-(0)30-1208820-90  
info@picoquant.com  
www.picoquant.com